



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/564,826

06/21/2006

Meinhard Schwaiger

66376-374

3227

25269

7590

07/29/2009

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST
1300 I STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

SANTOS, ROBERT G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3673

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/29/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/564,826	Applicant(s) SCHWAIGER ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert G. Santos	Art Unit 3673	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 31,32,36,37,41-43,45-47,52,53 and 55-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 31, 32, 36, 37, 41-43, 45-47, 52, 53 and 55-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 13, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 37 and 43 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- 1) In the last line of claim 37: The term "cushion" should be changed to --cushions--.
 - 2) In claim 43, line 2: The term "value" should be changed to --valve--.
- Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3673

4. Claims 31, 32, 37, 41, 52, 53 and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,134,166 to Schuder in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,536,906 to Varndell et al. As concerns claims 31, 32, 37, 41 and 60, Schuder shows the claimed limitations of an air-permeable mattress (11) comprising an elongated foam core (12) that defines a longitudinal axis, opposite support surfaces (39, 41) on which a person can lie, and opposite lateral sides (20), a plurality of first transverse channels that extend in parallel between the opposite lateral sides and in parallel with the opposite support surfaces, a plurality of air-filled pressure cushions (13) respectively located in the plurality of first transverse channels, and a plurality of connecting tubes (as described in column 3, lines 3-9) interconnecting multiple pressure cushions to provide zones in the foam core having equal predetermined air pressures (as described in column 3, lines 35-45 & 52-57), wherein the foam core consists of one layer (see also Figures 1-3; column 2, lines 33-68 and column 3, lines 1-2). However, Schuder does not specifically disclose the use of upper and bottom support layers each made of an air-permeable material, and a plurality of second transverse channels that extend in parallel between the opposite lateral sides of the foam core outside of the pressure cushions. Varndell et al. provide the basic teaching of a mattress comprising a foam mattress body (10), an air-permeable cover (61) that completely encloses the body of the mattress, and a plurality of transverse channels (27) that extend in parallel between the opposite lateral sides of the mattress body (see Figures 1, 2 & 4; column 2, lines 8-30 & 64-68; and column 3, lines 1-7). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder with upper and bottom support layers each made of an air-permeable material, and a plurality of second transverse channels that extend in parallel between the opposite lateral sides of the foam core

Art Unit: 3673

outside of the pressure cushions, in order to impart increased ventilation to a user positioned on the mattress, thereby helping to provide enhanced user comfort.

As concerns claims 52 and 53, Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose conditions wherein at least one pressure cushion is arranged in a zone with high pressure hardness as lordosis support and wherein the lying surface of the mattress is subdivided into seven zones. The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with at least one pressure cushion arranged in a zone with high pressure hardness as lordosis support and with a lying surface that is subdivided into seven zones, since these types of modifications would have generally been recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claims 58 and 59, Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose a condition wherein the system overpressure in each pressure cushion (13) lies “between 0.1 bar and 0.6 bar” or is “between 0.15 and 0.30 bar.” It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide each pressure cushion of the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with a system overpressure which falls “between 0.1 bar and 0.6 bar” or is “between 0.15 and 0.30 bar”, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

5. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder ‘166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 as applied to claim 60 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No.

Art Unit: 3673

2,192,601 to Mattison. Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose a condition wherein each pressure cushion (24) is configured as a solid cylinder. Mattison provides the basic teaching of a mattress (2) comprising a foam core and pressure cushions (8) arranged in openings formed within the foam core, wherein each pressure cushion is configured as a solid cylinder (see Figures 1-3; column 2, lines 33-35 & 47-55; and column 3, lines 1-3). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with pressure cushions each being configured as a solid cylinder in order to adjust further the firmness of the mattress, thereby further providing enhanced user comfort and support.

6. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder '166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 as applied to claim 60 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 3,846,857 to Weinstock. Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose a condition wherein the foam core (18) is composed of at least two layers with different degrees of hardness. Weinstock provides the basic teaching of a foam mattress body (10) composed of at least two layers (12-15) with different degrees of hardness (see Figure 2; column 1, lines 61-67; and column 2, lines 1-32). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with a foam core composed of at least two layers with different degrees of hardness in order "to promote maximum comfort and to minimize the occurrence and severity of decubitus ulcers or bed sores" (see Weinstock '857, column 1, lines 23-29).

Art Unit: 3673

7. Claims 43 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder '166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 as applied to claims 32 and 60 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,586,347 to Frischknecht. Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose the use of a control valve for adjusting the pressure in a specific zone of pressure cushions; an air pump composed of elastic elements and valves, wherein the air pump is arranged in the foam core so that an air conveying process is enabled as a result of a shifting of weight of a person lying on the mattress; and wherein the air pump cooperates with a pressure control device for compensating a pressure loss as a result of leakage loss or for building up a purposeful increase in pressure in the pressure cushion. Frischknecht provides the basic teaching of a mattress comprising a control valve (5, 15) for adjusting the pressure of an air cell (4); an air pump (1) composed of elastic elements and valves, wherein the air pump is arranged in a foam core (9) so that an air conveying process is enabled as a result of a shifting of weight of a person lying on the mattress; and wherein the air pump cooperates with a pressure control device (5) for compensating a pressure loss as a result of leakage loss or for building up a purposeful increase in pressure in the air cell (see Figures 1-4; column 1, lines 30-65; column 2, lines 16-25, 49-65; and column 3, lines 16-21). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with a control valve for adjusting the pressure in a specific zone of pressure cushions; an air pump composed of elastic elements and valves, wherein the air pump is arranged in the foam core so that an air conveying process is enabled as a result of a shifting of weight of a person lying on the mattress; and wherein the air pump cooperates with a pressure control device for compensating a pressure loss as a result of leakage loss or for building up a purposeful increase

Art Unit: 3673

in pressure in the pressure cushion, in order to facilitate regulation of the air flow to and air exhaust from the pressure cushions, thereby further ensuring enhanced user comfort and support.

8. Claim 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder '166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 as applied to claim 53 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,115,527 to Medley. Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose a condition wherein fresh air can be supplied to the mattress through openings which are arranged parallel to the lying surface and penetrate the width of the mattress. Medley provides the basic teaching of a mattress (1) comprising a plurality of openings (4) which are arranged parallel to the lying surface (2) of the mattress and penetrate the width of the mattress (see Figures 1, 2 & 4; column 2, lines 9-66). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with openings which are arranged parallel to the lying surface and penetrate the width of the mattress and which supply fresh air to the mattress in order to "keep [a] patient [lying on the mattress] cool (by dispersing heat) and dry, eliminating skin tissue maceration, and obviating pathogenic growth and cross infection (see Medley '527, column 2, lines 59-66).

9. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder '166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 and further in view of Medley '527 as applied to claim 56 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 2,493,067 to Goldsmith. Schuder, as modified by Varndell et al. and as further modified by Medley, does not specifically disclose a condition wherein a blower is provided for conveying the air. Goldsmith provides the basic teaching of a mattress

Art Unit: 3673

(11) provided with a plurality of openings (19) formed therein, wherein a blower (29) forces air through the openings (see Figure 1 & 2; column 2, lines 47-60; and column 3, lines 1-16 & 29-44). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder, as modified by Varndell et al. and as further modified by Medley, with a blower for conveying the air since the use of a blower for generating air flow through openings formed in the body of a mattress has long been known in the art as taught by Goldsmith.

10. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuder '166 in view of Varndell et al. '906 as applied to claim 60 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,802,640 to Ferrand et al. Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. does not specifically disclose a condition wherein sound-insulating material is provided in the inflow and outflow region of each pressure cushion (24). Ferrand et al. provide the basic teaching of a mattress (104) comprising a plurality of air cells (220, 222) each being provided with a valve (814) which functions as a sound baffle (see Figures 1, 2, 43, 44A & 44B; column 38, lines 66-67; and column 39, lines 1-6). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the mattress of Schuder as modified by Varndell et al. with sound-insulating material is provided in the inflow and outflow region of each pressure cushion in order to impart further enhanced user support and comfort.

Art Unit: 3673

Response to Amendment

11. Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 60 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7048. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 11:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert G. Santos/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673